

12/29/98

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.:
2207/5939

JC511 U.S. PTO

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of

For : **STRUCTURED WEB ADVERTISING**
Enclosed are:

1. 21 sheets of specification, 8 sheets of claims, and 1 sheet of abstract.
2. **08** sheets of drawings.
3. Also enclosed:
 - a) Declaration and Power of Attorney Forms (unsigned);
 - b) Assignment from the inventors to Intel Corporation; and
 - c) Return Receipt Postcard.

4. The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA*	RATE (\$)	FEE (\$)
BASIC FEE				760.00
TOTAL CLAIMS	25 - 20 =	5	22.00	110.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	3 - 3 =	3	82.00	0.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT			270.00	
*Number extra must be zero or larger		TOTAL		870.00
If the applicant is a small entity under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.9 and 1.27, then divide total fee by 2, and enter amount here.			SMALL ENTITY TOTAL	

5. Please charge the required application filing fee of \$870.00 to the deposit account of **Kenyon & Kenyon**, deposit account number **11-0600**.

Kenyon & Kenyon, deposit account number **11-0600**.

6. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees, associated with this communication or arising during the pendency of this application, or to credit any overpayment to the deposit account of **Kenyon & Kenyon**, deposit account number **11-0600**:
- A. Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16;
 - B. Any additional patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17;
 - C. Any additional patent issue fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18;
 - D. Any additional document supply fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.19;
 - E. Any additional post-patent processing fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.20; or
 - F. Any additional miscellaneous fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.21.
7. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: 12/29/98

By: Shawn W. O'Dowd
Shawn W. O'Dowd (Reg. No. 34,687)

KENYON & KENYON
333 West San Carlos St.
Suite 600
San Jose, CA 95110
(408) 287-2800 (Telephone)
(408) 287-2155 (Facsimile)

2207/5939

PATENT

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION
FOR

STRUCTURED WEB ADVERTISING

INVENTORS:

SANJAY V. VORA
JOSEPH R. KLUCK
WILLIAM J. NERENBERG
DAVID E. DENT
PAUL M. COHEN

PREPARED BY:

KENYON & KENYON
1025 CONNECTICUT AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

Express Mail No. EL088529413US
2207/5939

(202) 429-1776
STRUCTURED WEB ADVERTISING

Background

The present invention pertains to automatically selecting and linking information of different types, such as selecting and linking electronic advertisements to Web pages.

Information from different sources is frequently linked, through physical means (e.g. cut-
5 and-paste) or using computers or similar means. Through this linking, two formerly unassociated units of information become associated, so that a user accessing one unit of information will be presented with both. For example, a user accessing a Web page will also access any electronic advertisements displayed on that page.

Often, one of these units of information will be predetermined (or "given"). Another unit
10 of information will then be selected (or "chosen") to be linked to the given unit of information. The chosen information unit will often be selected from among a group of information units eligible for linking to the given information unit. This group of information units is referred to as "candidate information" because it includes units of information which are candidates to be selected for linking to the given piece of information. For example, a group of electronic
15 advertisements would constitute candidate information if it was available for linking to a Web site (given information). The candidate information group may contain many units of candidate information, and there may be continual adding and deleting of units from the group.

The content (including characteristics) of the given information unit usually will determine which candidate information unit will be linked to the given information unit. The

content of the given information is compared with the content (including characteristics) of the candidate information, and the best match is selected.

The field of advertising commonly presents occasions for linking of information.

Advertisers seek to target their ads to consumers likely to consume their products. Linking the ads to information that these consumers desire enables the advertisers to target these consumers. For example, magazine publishers producing an issue focusing on a particular topic may attempt to attract advertisements from advertisers related to that topic, and may place the ads in the magazine near the relevant stories.

Publication of information on the World Wide Web is largely advertiser-funded.

Operators of Web sites provide information on various pages of those sites which users access over the Internet. Web site operators place advertisements on their sites in exchange for payments from advertisers. These advertisements may include electronic displays of text and/or pictures, and may include links to Web sites operated by the advertiser.

Placing an advertisement on a Web page is an example of selecting a candidate information unit (advertisement) for a given information unit (Web page), and linking the two together. By matching the content of the given information unit to the candidate information units, the advertiser is able to target the users accessing the given information.

In the above-mentioned example, the given information is manually examined and compared to the candidate information in order to select one of the candidates to link to the given information. This laborious and time-intensive process is a limit on the linking of information. Furthermore, the candidate information group is usually not organized specifically for the

purpose of selecting and linking the candidate information. Only the most general linkings are practical, such as, for example, linking ads to magazines with a focused audience, or a special issue devoted to a certain topic.

Web sites generally contain advertisements related to their general, but not specific content. Web sites including multiple Web pages may be divided into sections for certain topics. Advertisements related to those topics are allocated to the related sections, but it is not presently practical to allocate ads based on page-by-page content.

In addition to manual examination of given information, manual processing of the information, and linking to selected candidate information, as described above, other techniques have been used by Web advertisers to target their advertisements to certain users. Web search engine operators employ user-input data to present certain ads to users. When a user inputs search terms to retrieve links to Internet sites, the search engine compares the user-input information to Web site descriptors contained on the site (meta-text) to retrieve addresses of sites containing matches to the search terms. The search engine substantially simultaneously searches a database of advertisements for matches to the input search terms. The search engine then displays links to the retrieved Web sites along with selected advertisements.

Another method by which computer software can provide information to a user, based on user inputs, is a feature of some user-oriented software programs (*e.g.* word-processing programs). This feature continually compares user keystrokes and command selections to a database containing lists of inefficient keystrokes and command selections and corresponding user messages. When a match is found, the software will display a message to the user

suggesting a more efficient way to perform the user's desired task. In this way, even though the user is not aware of which of the user inputs will trigger a message, valuable information will be sent to the user automatically.

5 Summary

In one embodiment of the present invention, a method is provided for associating a chosen information unit with a given information unit comprising the steps of automatically determining a content data of the given information unit, and automatically selecting the chosen information unit as a function of the content data of the given information unit.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a computer system on which an embodiment of the present invention may be implemented.

Figure 2 is a flow diagram of a method according to an embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 3 is a flow diagram of a method for collecting and storing content data of candidate information according to an embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 4 is a structural diagram of the structure of a candidate information look-up tree according to an embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 5 is a flow diagram of a method according to an embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 6 is a flow diagram of a method according to an embodiment of the present invention designed to select ads for linking to Web pages.

Figure 7 is a structural diagram of the structure of a candidate information look-up tree with example folder labels according to an embodiment of the present invention.

5 Figure 8 is a structural diagram of the structure of a candidate information look-up tree according to an embodiment of the present invention with example folder labels and example folder contents illustrated.

Figure 9 is a flow diagram of a method according to an embodiment of the present invention designed to select ads for linking to Web pages based on information about the user's computer system.

Detailed Description

Referring to Figure 1, a block diagram of a system, such as a computer system, which is an example of a type of system on which an embodiment of the present invention may be implemented, is shown. A Web Site Server 40 may comprise, for example, a computer including a memory containing given information in the form of a web page which is accessible by a user computer 20 via the Internet 10. An advertising server 30 may comprise, for example, a computer including a memory containing candidate information in the form of advertisements available for linking to a web page. The candidate information resident in the advertising server may be organized, for example, as a look-up tree. The web site server 40 may be linked to the advertising server 30 via a direct connection 50. Alternatively, the web site server 40 may

communicate with the advertising server 30 via the Internet, or the two may reside on the same computer/server. The invention is not limited in scope to a particular form of linking.

Referring to Figure 2, a general flow diagram of an embodiment of the present invention is shown. In this embodiment, a computer determines the content of the given information and provides a type of description or categorization of its contents. In 1, automatic searching and indexing of the given information is performed. This automatic searching and indexing may be performed by known methods such as with software products developed using the Verity Developer Kit (VDK) (Verity, Inc., 892 Ross Drive, Sunnyvale, CA 94809), which includes a core search engine and allows developers to build rules of evidence to classify documents and employ "fuzzy" concept-based searching (*e.g.* Intranet/Internet Spider), although the invention is not limited in scope in this respect. These program routines perform indexing of the information by customizable rules of evidence that link documents to concepts. The automatic searching and indexing programs return indexed data that reflects the contents and concepts contained in the given information unit.

In 2, an automatic relevancy ranking is performed on the indexed information to create the content data of the given information (*e.g.* key words). This relevancy ranking may be performed by known methods, such as checking to see how specific key words occur in a document or html (Hypertext Markup Language, Version 3.0) text, although, again, the invention is not limited in this respect. Relevancy ranking may be performed in a manner similar to the manner of any known Internet search engine (Alta Vista-www.altavista.com, Infoseek - www.infoseek.com, Yahoo - www.yahoo.com), for example. The relevancy ranking step

determines how important the individual indexed terms are to the document, and how well they represent its content. The ranked content data that are output by this operation include words or phrases that provide a description of the contents of the given information. The relevancy ranking may be used to reduce the number of comparisons to be performed. For example, terms from a document or Web page with a ranking above a certain percentage (e.g. 90%) may be compared to the candidate information. In some embodiments, the relevancy ranking function may be performed during the same operation as the information indexing function, or by the same component of the system. For example, this relevancy ranking is also a capability of the VDK-developed products mentioned above.

In 3, the ranked content data from the given information are compared to the contents of the candidate information units. The candidate information units have been placed in categories and sub-categories (e.g. in a tree structure discussed below) according to their contents. By searching and comparing relevancy-ranked data to the categories of candidate information, matches of the relevancy-rankings to the categories are returned based on the contents of the candidate information units. By matching the categories and subcategories, the most relevant unit of candidate information may be selected.

Figure 3 shows a process according to an embodiment of the invention by which the candidate information has been categorized. Content data of the candidate information units is gathered and the candidate information is placed in categories according to its content. In 21, content data about a unit of candidate information is collected. This can be done manually or by using a searching, indexing, and relevancy-ranking technique, such as described for the given

information above, for example. Content data may include elements such as terms describing the content of the candidate information unit, terms describing subjects similar or parallel to the content information unit, the size of the candidate information unit, or the types of given information units with which it is desirable to associate the candidate information unit. For example, in the context of advertising, content data may be the type of information that could be used to link an advertisement to content (e.g. a Web page).

After 21 in which content data is collected either manually or automatically, in 22 categories and subcategories in an existing structure (e.g. a tree structure) are searched for matches to the content data of the candidate information units. In 23, it is determined whether a match to the content data was found. If a match was found, the candidate information unit is copied or moved into the relevant category or sub-category in 24. If no match was found, a new category or subcategory is created in 25. The candidate information unit is then copied or moved into this new category or subcategory in 24.

In an embodiment of the invention, the categories and candidate information may be stored, for example, in an object-oriented database, although the invention is not limited in scope in this respect. This object-oriented database could include, for example, an information tree (an "explorer" or "look-up" tree), the structure of which is shown in Figure 4. The look-up tree may reside on a computer such as the advertising server 30, although the invention is not limited in scope in this respect. In the embodiment shown in Figure 4, a look-up tree is shown as a series of folders and sub-folders. Folders and sub-folders may comprise, for example, files, directories, or any other computer data structure for organizing information. The most general folders are

shown as "First Level Folders" in Figure 4. These are the first folders searched at the beginning of a searching of the tree. When a matching folder is found, the sub-folder is examined, and so on until the lowest level is reached.

There are many other possible methods, however, of creating and storing the content data of a candidate information unit within the scope of the present invention. This may be done through an automatic relevancy ranking similar to the type used for the given information, or the content data may be manually determined and associated with the candidate information by, for example, linking or attaching a list of key words or descriptions to the candidate information.

Returning to Figure 2, in 3, the ranked content data of the given information is compared to the content data of the candidate information units to determine which candidate information unit best matches the given information unit (i.e., which candidate information unit is most relevant (e.g., contains matching terms) to the highest-ranked terms of the given information unit). In the example of Web advertising, the ranked content data of the Web page is compared to the content data of the advertisements to determine which advertisement is the best match (e.g., having terms matching the highest-ranked content data; having the greatest number of matching terms, or by some other method of determining relevancy) to be displayed on the Web page. The candidate information units having content data matching the relevancy ranked data are returned as "matches." These "matched information units" are a subset of the candidate information units.

In the event that more matches are returned than units of candidate information sought for linking, the proper number of information units may be selected by any of a number of methods

in 4. For example, a round-robin type allocation scheme may be used to rotate the units of matched information that will be selected. Alternatively, the units could be ranked and selected based on the closeness of the match, the source of the information, or the content of the information. The units could also be randomly selected. The unit (or units) that is ultimately selected is referred to as a "chosen information unit" and is returned in 4. For example, in the context of Web advertising, if several advertisements matched equally well to a Web page in 3, but the Web page has room for only one advertisement, then one of the matched advertisements will be selected from the group, as described above.

In 5, the chosen information unit is linked to the given information unit. This can be accomplished by any one of a number of methods such as creating a message indicating that the information units should be displayed together, by combining the information units, or by establishing an electronic link (e.g. a pointer) from one to the other. In the example of Web advertising, this is accomplished by copying the software comprising the advertisement into the software comprising the Web page. The software managing the advertisements may keep track of the ads chosen and displayed, and may register charges to the advertisers accordingly, in one embodiment.

Figure 5 shows an embodiment of the invention shown in Figure 2, in which a look-up tree is employed as the method for organizing the candidate information. The basic structure of a look-up tree is shown in Figure 4. Each unit of candidate information is placed in a folder contained in the tree. The units of candidate information may be placed in the look-up tree manually or automatically using, for example, a relevancy-ranking process.

In the embodiment shown in Figure 5, 1 and 2 are performed as in the embodiment shown in Figure 2. An automatic searching and indexing is performed on the given information unit (e.g. a Web page) and the indexed data is ranked according to a relevancy ranking algorithm. In 43, the results of this relevancy ranking are compared to the names of the folders in the candidate information look-up tree. It is to be understood that the term "folder" may refer to both folders and sub-folders, as labeled in the figures. The folders may also contain key words in addition to folder names that can be used in comparing the folders to the ranked data. The entire tree is searched, the folders and sub-folders (e.g. folder names and key words) are compared to the ranked data, and the folder that matches the most relevant data is selected. 43 returns the matched folder (e.g. with a pointer to the matched folder) if one is found.

In 44, it is determined whether a matched folder was found. If no match was found, candidate information is selected using some predetermined default method in 45. This method may not match the candidate information to the given information according to relevancy ranking results. For example, candidate information might be selected from a default que, or by a round-robin scheme, or randomly from a collection of candidate information units. In the context of Web advertising, default advertisements are stored in a default ad que and these advertisements are selected when no match is found. 45 returns chosen information, selected with the default method. This chosen information is linked to the given information unit in 5, as described for the embodiment shown in Figure 2.

If 44 indicates that a matched folder was found, candidate information units from that folder are selected for linking to the given information unit in 46. This is performed by some

predetermined method such as, for example, by a relevancy search, a round-robin arbitration, a random selection, or other such technique performed on the folder contents. Alternatively, if the folder does not contain more candidate information units than are used for linking, then the entire folder contents may be chosen. After the chosen information has been selected from the matched folder, the chosen information is linked to the given information unit in 5, as described for the embodiment shown in Figure 2.

Figure 6 shows an embodiment of the present invention adapted for use in managing advertising on the Internet, specifically, on the World Wide Web. Advertising may be a primary source of revenue to those who provide information on the World Wide Web. Information is posted on the World Wide Web in the form of Web sites that comprise pages of html text along with graphics (Web pages). Advertisements are posted on Web pages accessed by Web users so that the users read the advertisements in the process of gaining information from the Web. Advertisements often also provide links to other html pages maintained by the advertisers.

In the embodiment shown in Figure 6, the given information includes a Web page. A Web page includes computer software residing on a server (such as the web site server 40), the execution of which displays text, graphics, and links to other pages to users who access the page over the Internet. In the embodiment shown in Figure 6, the candidate information includes advertisements residing on the advertising server 30, and intended for display on Web pages. These advertisements also comprise software for the display of text, graphics, and links to other Web pages. When a user computer 20 accesses a Web page, an advertisement is chosen from the group of candidate advertisements, and is displayed on the Web page. This is accomplished by

copying the software comprising the advertisement into the software comprising the Web page, for example, by transferring a file containing the advertisement to the user computer 20. The software managing the advertisements may keep track of the ads chosen and displayed, and may register charges to the advertisers accordingly.

5 In 51, the content of the web page seeking an advertisement is indexed according to the methods outlined above for given information in general. This indexing may be performed, for example, by a software module residing on the web site server 40, or on the advertising server 30 (in which case the software accesses the web page data via the connection 50 or the Internet 10. For example, if a web page comprises a news article discussing the usefulness of spreadsheet software programs for data management, the words making up this article may be indexed in 51. 51 returns indexed web page data (for example, to a software module that performs a relevancy ranking according to methods outlined above). The relevancy-ranking software module may reside, for example, on the web site server 40 or the advertising server 30. In 52, a relevancy ranking may be performed, according to the methods described above. In the example of a data management news article, the relevancy ranked data could comprise words representing the key concepts and subjects of the article. In this case, the ranked data could reflect that the article is about computers, software, data management, and spreadsheet programs. These concepts, returned by 52, may be used to determine which ads will best match (i.e., are most relevant to) the subject of the web page. In 53, the ranked web page data is used to search the folders of the ad look-up tree. The ad look-up tree resides, for example, on the advertising server 30, and the ranked web page data is passed to a software module for searching the ad look-up tree. The

ranked data is compared to, e.g. the folder names and key words that describe the contents of the folders and sub-folders to determine which ad folder best matches the web page content.

The advertisements may be organized in an ad look-up tree of a structure similar to that shown in Figure 4. The ad look-up tree may include folders and sub-folders implemented in software and computer memory. Each folder and sub-folder may itself contain advertisements and/or sub-folders. Each advertisement in the tree may be contained in at least one folder or sub-folder. In addition to containing advertisements and sub-folders, each folder may contain labeling information corresponding to content data of the ads contained within the folder (e.g. key words).

An ad look-up tree with folder labeling information is shown in Figure 7. The more general ad categories are shown at the first level of the tree (e.g. food products, computers), and the categories become more specific at each subsequent level (e.g. software, hardware at the next level, then sound cards and modems at the next level). The ads may be placed in the folders according to the content data of the ads. In one embodiment of the invention, the folder labels of the look-up tree are determined by the content of the ads.

When the content data is determined from the ad, it may be determined whether a proper folder for the ad already exists. If there is a proper folder, the ad is placed in that folder. If there is no proper folder, or if there is content data that is more specific than the matched folder, a new sub-folder may be created based on the content data. The ad may then be placed in the newly-created folder. In another embodiment of the invention, the folders may be created independently of the content data of the advertisements. The ads may be placed in the folders and

sub-folders based on matching the content of the ads to the pre-existing labels of the folders and sub-folders, but new folders and subfolders may not be automatically created according to the content data.

Figure 8 is an illustration of the contents of the folder labeled "computers" from Figure 7.

5 The sub-folders within the "computers" folder are shown, along with contents of the folders (advertisements). For example, the "computers" folder contains a "software" sub-folder and a "hardware" sub-folder. The "software" sub-folder contains two advertisements (e.g. general advertisements for software companies or distributors) and two sub-folders. The "software" sub-folders are labeled "word processing" and "data management." These sub-folders also contain advertisements which may be, for example, for word processing programs and spreadsheet programs respectively.

In Figure 6, 53, the folders of the ad look-up tree, an example of which is shown in Figures 7 and 8, are searched to find the folder that best matches the ranked data. It is to be understood that the term "folder" may refer to both folders and sub-folders, as labeled in the figures. The ranked data of the web page may be compared to, for example, the folder labels and key words contained in the folders. In the case of ranked data from an article about data management and spreadsheet programs, these concepts may be compared to the folder names shown in Figures 7 and 8. Matching the concepts from the web page, the data management folder may be selected as the best match in 53.

20 In 54, it is determined whether a matched folder was found. If no matched folder was found in the ad look-up tree, an ad may be selected using a predetermined default method, as

described for Figure 5. The chosen ad may then be linked to the web page in 57 by, for example, sending a file containing the advertisement to the web site server for placement within the files comprising the web page, or by sending the file to the user computer directly for insertion into the web page. If, in 54, it is determined that a matched folder was found, an ad is selected from the matched folder in 56. For example, when it is determined that the data management folder is the best match, one of the four ads in that folder may be selected to be linked to the web page containing an article about using spreadsheet software programs for data management. The four ads in the data management folder might comprise, for example, advertisements for different software products or companies relating to data management. The selection of the ad to be linked to the web page could be done by random choice, by selecting the ad currently designated by a round-robin scheme, or by comparing the ranked data to the ad content. This could be done, for example, by comparing the ranked data to key words stored in the ad. In this manner an ad for a spreadsheet program might be selected rather than an ad for a database program, because the article was about the use of spreadsheet programs. Whatever the selection method, the chosen ad (e.g. ad #1) is returned (e.g. to the web site server) in 56. In 57, the chosen ad is linked to the web page, as described above. For example, ad #1 for a spreadsheet program may be displayed at the top of the article about use of spreadsheet software programs for data management. In this way, the ad may be specifically targeted to an interested user.

By automating the process of indexing and ranking the content of the given information, the costs of performing such a ranking may drop dramatically. This allows for a much more efficient and detailed selecting and linking of candidate information to given information. When

there is a very large number of given information units and candidate information units, very detailed targeting and linking may be performed where only very general targeting and linking was previously possible, if at all. In the context of Web advertising, this may be especially true. As the number of Web sites offering advertising increases, advertising may become a commodity product. This may push ad prices down and shrink profit margins for sites supported by advertising. At the same time, many sites offering advertising space are becoming increasingly large and complex, with more and more pages in a single site. In addition, some Web sites (e.g. news services) have Web pages with content that is changing on an ongoing basis. This makes detailed targeting and linking of ads even harder. Each page of a site, however, constitutes a unit of given information, and the present invention can be adapted to address these problems.

Presently, only the most general targeting is done. For example, news services on the Internet often have a portion of their sites devoted to sports news and another devoted to financial news. Financial-oriented ads (e.g. broker services, mutual funds) may appear on the financial section, and sports-oriented ads in the sports section. Each news story on the site, however, may be a separate page, and it is desirable to link ads to each story which specifically relate to that story. The present invention may be employed to perform this function inexpensively and efficiently. The present invention could be used, for example, to perform indexing and ranking of the content of each story posted to a news service Web site, to compare the related content to an ad look-up tree, and to place ads appropriate to each story on the page containing that story. Because users accessing the stories may be interested in the topics those stories present, the ads may be targeted to users with those same interests. This may allow the

Web site operator employing such a system to offer advertisers a premium service of very focused advertising, increasing the value of the site and allowing the Web site provider higher profit margins.

In addition to the above-described aspects of the invention, a system may be included for verifying that a selected advertisement (chosen information unit) is appropriate for the web page (given information unit). For example, this could prevent an advertisement for ice cream from being linked to a news article describing the health risks of eating too much ice cream, even though the subject of both may be ice cream. This may be done, for example, by the web page specifying types of ads it is not interested in, and checking selected advertisements to determine if they are of this type. Alternatively, this could be a function of the look-up tree, which could further examine the total content of the web page (e.g. more of the ranked results) to determine if the web page is suitable for the advertisement. If the page is not suitable, an alternative ad from the same folder may be selected, or an ad may be selected using a predetermined default method.

In another embodiment of the present invention, personalized information about the Web site user may be used in selecting the candidate information unit (e.g. advertisement) to be linked to the given information unit (e.g. Web site page). This user information may be used in conjunction with, or instead of, the content of the Web page to select the advertisement. The user information may include information directly input by the user (e.g. search terms, survey answers), or information indirectly input by the user (e.g. typing speed, history of selected links, length of time connected to the Internet).

Figure 9 shows another embodiment of the present invention, in which information about the user's computer system may be used to determine which candidate information unit (e.g. an advertisement) is selected. This user system information can be used in conjunction with, or instead of, the content of the Web page to select the advertisement. The user system information may be obtained across the user's Internet connection. For example, the user's "Start Menu" (a feature of the Microsoft Windows® 95 operating system) may be accessed and queried to determine the software the user has installed on his computer. Alternatively, the user system information may be obtained programmatically by accessing the operating system (e.g. the operating system's registry or "plug and play" data). "Plug and play" is also a feature of the Microsoft Windows® 95 operating system and contains data relating to the hardware components installed on the user's computer.

By accessing these components over the Internet, software may be used to query the user's computer to determine what hardware and software components the user has. For example, the software may determine that the user has a 28,800 baud modem (modulator/demodulator). This information may be used, for example, to link only ads for modems faster than 28,800 to Web pages the user accesses.

An embodiment of the present invention employing user system data to select advertisements for linking to a web page is shown in Figure 9. In 81, a software query message is sent to the user computer system over the Internet connection of the user's computer system. In 82, the query software runs a diagnostic program on the user's computer system to determine the nature of the system and its components. This diagnostic may be performed, for example, by

accessing system files stored on the user's computer, by running a diagnostic program already located on the user's computer, by communicating with the user's operating system, or by downloading diagnostic software onto the user's computer. The query may also determine which software programs are loaded on the user system, and which are currently running.

5 In 83, the query software returns a reply message containing the user system data retrieved from the diagnostic session, and sends the reply message over the user's Internet connection. In 84, the user system data is used to search the ad look-up tree. This search may be performed in a similar manner to the search performed using the ranked data described above (e.g. 53, Figure 6), except that the user system data may be used in place of the ranked data (or in some embodiments, as a supplement to it). 85-88 are performed in a similar manner to 54-57 of Figure 6, except that the user system data may be used in place of the ranked content data.

In addition to the embodiments of the invention outlined above, it is possible to employ the techniques of different embodiments together. For example, in another embodiment of the present invention, the ranked content data of the given information may be used to search the look-up tree containing the candidate information, but the candidate information unit is actually selected using user input data or user system data (determined as outlined above). An example of this embodiment is shown in Figure 6, where the "predetermined method" of 55 may employ user input data or user system data to select the chosen ad from the candidates in the folder.

Although an embodiment is specifically illustrated and described herein, it will be appreciated that modifications and variations of the present invention are covered by the above

[illegible]

What is claimed is:

1. A method for associating a chosen information unit with a given information unit

comprising:

automatically determining a content data of the given information unit; and

automatically selecting the chosen information unit as a function of the content

data of the given information unit.

2. A method for selecting a candidate information unit for linking to a given information

unit comprising:

determining a content data of the candidate information unit;

automatically determining a content data of the given information unit;

comparing the content data of the given information unit to the content data of the

candidate information unit; and

selecting the candidate information unit for linking to the given information unit

as a function of said step of comparing the content data of the given information unit to the

content data of the candidate information unit.

3. A method for selecting a candidate information unit for linking to a given information

unit comprising:

determining a content data of the candidate information unit;

automatically determining a content data of the given information unit;

Express Mail No. EL088529413US

2207/5939

automatically comparing the content data of the given information unit to the content data of the candidate information unit; and

selecting the candidate information unit for linking to the given information unit as a function of said step of automatically comparing the content data of the given information unit to the content data of the candidate information unit.

4. The method of claim 3, further comprising:

after determining the content data of the candidate information unit, placing the candidate information unit in a look-up tree according to the content data of the candidate information.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein:

automatically comparing the content data of the given information unit to the content data of the candidate information unit comprises traversing the look-up tree.

6. The method of claim 4, wherein:

the structure of the look-up tree includes the content data of the candidate information.

7. The method of claim 4, wherein:

the given information unit is available on the Internet.

8. The method of claim 3, wherein:

determining the content data of the candidate information unit includes:

collecting the content data of the candidate information unit;

incorporating the content data into the candidate information unit; and

storing the candidate information unit and the content data of the candidate information unit.

9. The method of claim 3, wherein:

determining the content data of the candidate information unit includes:

collecting the content data of the candidate information unit;

linking the content data to the candidate information unit; and

storing the candidate information unit and the content data of the candidate information unit.

10. The method of claim 3, wherein automatically determining the content data of the given information unit includes:

searching the given information unit;

indexing the given information unit to produce an indexed data; and

performing a relevancy ranking on the indexed data.

11. The method of claim 7, wherein automatically determining the content data of the given information unit includes:

searching the given information unit;
indexing the given information unit to produce an indexed data; and
performing a relevancy ranking on the indexed data.

12. The method of claim 10, wherein:

the given information unit is available on the Internet.

13. The method of claim 11, wherein:

the given information unit includes a page of content on the World Wide Web.

14. The method of claim 11, wherein:

the candidate information unit includes an advertisement to be displayed to a user.

15. The method of claim 3, wherein:

determining a content data of the given information unit further includes:
selecting a key word;
counting a number of occurrences of the key word; and
ranking the key word according to the number of occurrences of the keyword.

16. A method for associating a chosen information unit with a given information unit

comprising:

automatically determining a user computer system data; and

selecting a chosen information unit as a function of the user computer system

data.

17. The method of claim 12, further comprising:

accessing a user computer system through a user Internet connection;

querying the user computer system to determine a user computer system data; and

returning the user computer system data through the user Internet connection;.

18. The method of claim 3, wherein:

the given information unit includes a user-input information.

19. The method of claim 14 further comprising:

obtaining a user-input information; and

incorporating the user-input information into the content data of the given

information unit.

20. An article comprising a storage medium including a set of instructions, said set of instructions capable of being executed by a processor to implement a method for associating a chosen information unit with a given information unit, the method comprising:

automatically determining a content data of the given information unit; and

automatically selecting a chosen information unit as a function of the content data of the given information unit.

21. An article comprising a storage medium including a set of instructions, said set of instructions capable of being executed by a processor to implement a method for selecting a candidate information unit for linking to a given information, the method comprising:

determining a content data of the candidate information unit;

automatically determining a content data of the given information unit; and

automatically comparing the content data of the given information unit to the content data of the candidate information unit;

selecting the candidate information unit for linking to the given information unit as a function of said step of automatically comparing the content data of the given information unit to the content data of the candidate information unit.

22. A method for selecting a candidate information unit for linking to a given information unit comprising:

determining a content data of the candidate information unit;

comparing two of a content data of the given information unit, a user computer system data, and a user input data to the content data of the candidate information unit;

selecting the candidate information unit for linking to the given information unit as a function of said step of comparing two of a content data of the given information unit, a user computer system data, and a user input data to the content data of the candidate information unit.

23. The method of claim 4 wherein:

the candidate information unit includes an advertisement to be displayed to a user.

24. The method of claim 4 wherein:

the look-up tree includes at least one folder and at least one sub-folder.

25. A computer system comprising:

a server;

a given information unit;

a candidate information unit coupled to said server and said given information unit, said server adapted to


determine a content data of the candidate information unit,

automatically determine a content data of the given information unit,

automatically compare the content data of the given information unit to the

content data of the candidate information unit to create a comparison result; and

link the candidate information unit to the given information unit as a function of the comparison result.



Abstract of the Disclosure

A system for selecting a candidate information unit for linking to a given information unit based on the content of the given information unit. The content of the given information unit may be automatically determined and then compared to content of the candidate information unit to determine which unit of candidate information to select. When the unit of candidate information is selected, it bears a chosen given information unit and it is linked with the given information unit. The system can be used to implement a structured advertising system for the World Wide Web. Web pages (given information) are searched and indexed, producing indexed content data. This indexed content data is then ranked according to relevancy. The ranked content data are compared to content data of advertisements (candidate information) and an advertisement is selected. The advertisement is then copied onto (linked to) the Web page.

SJ1-2436




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 5




Figure 6





Figure 7

Downloaded from www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu



DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, we hereby declare that:

Our residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to our name,

We believe we are the original, first, and sole inventor of the subject matter that is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **STRUCTURED WEB ADVERTISING** the specification of which

 X is attached hereto.

 was filed on as United States Application Number or PCT International Application Number and was amended on (if applicable)

We hereby state that we have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above. We do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before our invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by us or our legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

We acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a), a copy of which is attached.

PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION(S)

We hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

APPLICATION NUMBER	COUNTRY	FILING DATE (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED	
			Yes	No

PRIOR UNITED STATES APPLICATION(S)

We hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §§ 119(e) and/or 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, we acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE (day, month, year)	STATUS (i.e. Patented, Pending, Abandoned)

POWER OF ATTORNEY: I [We] hereby appoint:

Paul H. Heller (Reg. No. 21,074); John C. Altmiller (Reg. No. 25,951); Felix L. D'Arienzo, Jr. (Reg. No. 27,631); Shawn W. O'Dowd (Reg. No. 34,687); Joseph R. Palmieri (Reg. No. 40,760) of KENYON & KENYON with offices located at 1025 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, telephone (202) 429-1776, and James E. Jacobson, Jr. (Reg. No. 31,626); Thomas C. Reynolds (Reg. No. 32,488); Raymond J. Werner (Reg. No. 34,752); Richard C. Calderwood (Reg. No. 35,468); Joseph R. Bond (Reg. No. 36,458); Naomi Obinata (Reg. No. 39,320) of INTEL CORPORATION my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

SEND CORRESPONDENCE, AND DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:

John C. Altmiller
KENYON & KENYON
1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 429-1776 (phone)
(202) 429-0796 (facsimile)

We hereby declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under § 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	FAMILY NAME VORA	FIRST GIVEN NAME SANJAY	SECOND GIVEN NAME V.
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY HILLSBORO	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY OREGON	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP USA
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS 2571 N.W. OVERLOOK DRIVE, APT 617	CITY HILLSBORO	STATE & ZIP OR, 97124, USA
Signature		Date	

FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	FAMILY NAME COHEN	FIRST GIVEN NAME PAUL	SECOND GIVEN NAME M.
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY BEAVERTON	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY OREGON	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP USA
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS 16240 S.W. PIPIT COURT	CITY HILLSBORO	STATE & ZIP OR, 97007, USA
Signature		Date	

FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	FAMILY NAME NERENBERG	FIRST GIVEN NAME WILLIAM	SECOND GIVEN NAME J.
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY HILLSBORO	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY OREGON	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP USA
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS 1436 JACQUELIN	CITY HILLSBORO	STATE & ZIP OR, 97123, USA
Signature		Date	

FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	FAMILY NAME DENT	FIRST GIVEN NAME DAVID	SECOND GIVEN NAME E.
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY PORTLAND	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY OREGON	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP USA
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS 3499 N.W. LORIAN	CITY PORTLAND	STATE & ZIP OR, 97229, USA
Signature		Date	
FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	FAMILY NAME KLUCK	FIRST GIVEN NAME JOSEPH	SECOND GIVEN NAME R.
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY PORTLAND	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY OREGON	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP USA
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS 7920 S.W. WESTGATE	CITY PORTLAND	STATE & ZIP OR, 97225, USA
Signature		Date	

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56
Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (I) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

© Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.